

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,432	02/26/2004	Gerald A. Guter	333158-1150	5691
38706 FOLEY & 1	7590 12/28/20 LARDNER LLP	06	EXAMINER	
1530 PAGE	MILL ROAD		BARRY, CHESTER T	
PALO ALTO, CA 94304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			,	
SHORTENED STATU	TORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/28/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

1	/
\sim	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/789,432	GUTER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chester T. Barry	1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 O	<u>ctober 2006</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-35 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/30/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te					

Application/Control Number: 10/789,432

Art Unit: 1724

Page 2

The election is taken as one made without traverse because no arguments were presented traversing the requirement for restriction. Please cancel claims 31 – 35 in response to this action.

Claims 1 – 30 are rejected under Sec. 103 (a) as obvious over either 6077432 or 6066257 in view of Gingrase. It was known to remove perchlorate from perchlorate-contaminated water by exchanging the perchlorate onto an ion exchange resin. It was known further to remove the perchlorate from the perchlorate-loaded ion exchange resin using a resin regenerant (see, e.g., 20030222031,at [0007] – [0008], 20020132866, or 6407143). It was known to decontaminate the spent regenerant stream of perchlorate using bacteria. See, for example, 6077432 or 6066257. It would have been obvious to have fed a regenerant stream containing perchlorate-decontaminating bacteria directly to the perchlorate-loaded ion exchange resin, as suggested by the Gingras printed publication. Accordingly, the claimed invention of claims 1-30 would have been obvious over either 6077432 or 6066257 in view of Gingras.

CHESTERT. BARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER

571-272-1152